Central Point City Hall 664-3321

City Council

Mayor Hank Williams

Ward I

Bruce Dingler

Ward II Kelly Geiger

Ward III Ellie George

Ward IV Allen Broderick

At Large Carol Fischer Kay Harrison

Administration

Chris Clayton, Interim City Manager Deanna Casey, City Recorder

Community Development Department

Tom Humphrey, Director

Finance Department Bev Adams, Director

Human ResourcesBarb Robson, Director

Parks and Public Works Department

Matt Samitore, Director Jennifer Boardman, Manager

Police Department Kris Allison, Chief

CITY OF CENTRAL POINT City Council Meeting Agenda October 25, 2012

Next Res.1345 Next Ord. No.1966

- I. REGULAR MEETING CALLED TO ORDER 7:00 P.M.
- II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- III. ROLL CALL
- IV. PUBLIC APPEARANCES This time is reserved for citizens to comment on items that are not on the agenda. Maximum of five minutes per item, please.

V. CONSENT AGENDA

- Pg. 2 6
 A. Approval of October 11, 2012 Council Minutes
 7 8
 B. Approval of OLCC Application for Zen Zen Sushi & Teriyaki
 9 11
 C. Acceptance of RVMPO Transportation Update
 12 18
 D. Acceptance of 1st Quarter Financial Statement
- VI. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA

VII. BUSINESS

- 20 21 A. Rogue Disposal CPI Rate Increase (Penning)
 - --- B. Fire District No. 3 Quarterly Report (Petersen)

VIII. PUBLIC HEARING, ORDINANCES, AND RESOLUTIONS

23 - 26 A. Ordinance No. ______, An Ordinance Amending Chapter 12.20.020 of the Central Point Municipal Code Adding Provisions for Rubber Curb Ramps (Samitore)

- 28 31 B. Ordinance No. ______, An Ordinance Amending Chapter 9.68.140 of the Central Point Municipal Code to Clarify the Use of Park Property with Outside Venders and Rental Apparatus Set Up in the Parks When Users Are Renting Park Space (Samitore)
- IX. MAYOR'S REPORT
- X. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT
- XI. COUNCIL REPORTS
- XII. DEPARTMENT REPORTS
- XIII. EXECUTIVE SESSION

The City Council may adjourn to executive session under the provisions of ORS 192.660. Under the provisions of the Oregon Public Meetings Law, the proceedings of an executive session are not for publication or broadcast.

XIV. ADJOURNMENT

Consent Agenda

CITY OF CENTRAL POINT City Council Meeting Minutes October 11, 2012

I. REGULAR MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Mayor Williams called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

III. ROLL CALL: Mayor: Hank Williams

Council Members: Allen Broderick, Bruce Dingler, Carol Fischer, Kelly Geiger, and Kay Harrison were present. Ellie

George as absent.

City Manager Phil Messina; City Attorney Paul Nolte; Police Chief Kris Allison; Parks and Public Works Director Matt Samitore; Assistant City Manager Chris Clayton; Human Resource Director Barb Robson; Finance Director Bev Adams; Captain Brian Day; and City Recorder Deanna

Casey were also present.

IV. PUBLIC APPEARANCES - None

V. CONSENT AGENDA

A. Approval of September 27, 2012, City Council Minutes

Allen Broderick made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda as presented. Carol Fischer seconded. Roll call: Allen Broderick, yes, Bruce Dingler, yes; Kelly Geiger, yes; Carol Fischer, yes; Kay Harrison, yes; and Hank Williams, yes. Motion approved.

VI. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA – None

VII. PUBLIC HEARINGS, ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS

A. First Reading, An Ordinance Amending Chapter 9.68.140 of the Central Point Municipal Code to Clarify the Use of Park Property with Outside Vendors and Rental Apparatus set up in the Parks when Users are Renting Park Space

Parks and Public Works Director Matt Samitore stated that Central Point City Park users are renting the facilities and have been requesting the use of outside vendors/entertainment be allowed as part of their park rental. These vendors include jump houses, dunk tanks, and party ponies. In the past we have not allowed these items unless the user applies for a special event permit and provides \$1,000,000 in liability insurance. We currently have no rules regarding these items and their use with gazebo rentals. The revision to the ordinance

City of Central Point City Council Minutes October 11, 2012 Page 2

would provide park staff and police the tools they require to assist in limiting damage and maintaining order in the City parks.

Mr. Samitore explained that changes would be made in the reservation section of the code requiring forms to be completed and approved by City staff. A non-refundable fee will be established by resolution and will be charged for reservations, but may be waived by the City for public use. Large structures such as bounce houses and party ponies may only be approved by special event permits with proof of liability insurance that meets or exceeds state tort claim limits.

Kay Harrison made a motion to move to second reading an Ordinance Amending Chapter 9.68.140 of the Central Point Municipal Code to Clarify the Use of Park Property with Outside Vendors and Rental Apparatus set up in the Parks when Users are Renting Park Space. Carol Fischer seconded. Roll call: Allen Broderick, yes, Bruce Dingler, yes; Kelly Geiger, yes; Carol Fischer, yes; Kay Harrison, yes; and Hank Williams, yes. Motion approved.

B. First Reading, An Ordinance Amending Chapter 12.20.020 of the Central Point Municipal Code Adding Provisions for Rubber Curb Ramps

Mr. Samitore stated that the City Attorney helped create an Ordinance allowing the use of temporary rubber ramps in subdivisions that have rolled curbs. After a public discussion with Central Point East residents on September 13, 2012, the City Council directed staff to return with a revision to the ordinance that would allow the rubber ramps in these areas. Staff recommended a permit process in order to keep track and monitor any issue they may cause during storms. The permit will be free and allow staff to create a data base to notify residents to remove them from the right of way if potential flooding or other public emergency is imminent.

There was discussion regarding concerns that allowing these could cause the city to be liable if there are problems with flooding on neighbors' property. Some Council members are concerned that this ordinance leaves it open for future council to add a fee on the permit. Staff stated that if this becomes time consuming for staff there may be a reason to charge a fee in the future.

Carol Fischer made a motion to pass to second reading An Ordinance Amending Chapter 12.20.020 of the Central Point Municipal Code Adding Provisions for Rubber Curb Ramps. Bruce Dingler seconded. Roll call: Allen Broderick, yes, Bruce Dingler, yes; Kelly Geiger, no; Carol Fischer, yes; Kay Harrison, yes; and Hank Williams, yes. Motion approved.

C. Resolution No. 1344, A Resolution Revising the Classification Pay

Human Resource Manager Barbara Robson presented the updated pay plan.

City of Central Point City Council Minutes October 11, 2012 Page 3

Part A of the pay plan is for the General Services Unite and reflects a 1% increase. The monthly figures have been changed to hourly rates, pursuant to a change in the bargaining agreement language. Employees will still be paid on an "average monthly" basis.

Part B of the plan is the police bargaining unit and reflects the 3.1% COLA applied to the pay scale in accordance with the current Police Collective Bargaining Agreement.

There are no changes in Part C of the plan which covers the exempt, non-bargaining unit, management positions.

Allen Broderick made a motion to approve Resolution No. 1344, A Resolution Revising the Classification Pay Plan. Kelly Geiger seconded. Roll call: Allen Broderick, yes, Bruce Dingler, yes; Kelly Geiger, yes; Carol Fischer, yes; Kay Harrison, yes; and Hank Williams, yes. Motion approved.

VIII. BUSINESS

A. Donation Request for Bear Creek Greenway

Parks and Public Works Director Matt Samitore stated that the City has been working with Jackson County and Bear Creek Greenway Foundation as part of the new Beebe Road Waterline that was recently installed under Bear Creek. As part of the agreement, the City paid for some lot line adjustments to ensure the new trail would be built on property owned by Jackson County. Additionally, the construction project was going to put in a section of the base of the trail where the waterline is located. Before the construction started the Foundation asked us to help with funding a match that they received. We budgeted \$15,000 for the trail construction in our original budget. In lieu of building the section of the trail, the monies obligated can be transferred to the Foundation for the requested donation.

Lee Mills, President Bear Creek Greenway Foundation

Mr. Mills explained how much the Foundation has already raised for this project. It would be great to have Central Point as a partner in this portion of the greenway.

There was discussion regarding the east side master plan for Pine Street. Council and staff would hate to see the Greenway complete and then have a bridge installed and tear out some of the new work. Staff stated that this could be worked around.

Kay Harrison made a motion to approve the \$15,000 donation to the Bear Creek Greenway Foundation for the Transportation Enhancement Grant Match. Allen Broderick seconded. Roll call: Allen Broderick, yes, Bruce Dingler, yes; Kelly Geiger, yes; Carol Fischer, yes; Kay Harrison, yes; and Hank Williams, yes. Motion approved.

B. Appointment of Interim City Manager

Bruce Dingler moved that Christopher Clayton be appointed as Interim City Manager and instructed the Mayor to negotiate an agreement or contract on behalf of the city. Kelly Geiger seconded. Roll call: Allen Broderick, yes, Bruce Dingler, yes; Kelly Geiger, yes; Carol Fischer, yes; Kay Harrison, yes; and Hank Williams, yes. Motion approved.

IX. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Williams presented City Manager Phil Messina with a plaque thanking him for his 10 years of service and wish him the best of luck with his new adventures.

Mayor Williams reported that he:

- Participated in the Mayor's United Dinner. It seemed to be a success again this year.
- He received an email from Patricia Young asking if there were any large community projects that the 7th Day Adventist Church can do. The first item on his list would be the cemetery but it looks as if someone is working on that project. Mr. Clayton will get the contact information and create a list of items they could work on.
- Attended the League of Oregon Cities Conference in Salem.
- Attended a TRADCO Meeting.
- Attended the farewell lunch for Mr. Messina.

X. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

City Manager Phil Messina stated that this will be his last report for the City of Central Point.

- He attended the LOC Conference.
- He attended the ICMA Conference in Arizona
- He thanked everyone for a successful 10 years and is proud of the staff he is leaving behind. That is his greatest accomplishment.

XI. COUNCIL REPORTS

Council Member Allen Broderick reported that he attended the Parks and Recreation meeting and a Children's Advocacy Center meeting.

Council Member Kelly Geiger stated that he knows of another Church that is looking for community projects. He will provide Mr. Clayton the contact information.

Council Member Kay Harrison reported that she attended the LOC Conference in Salem, an RVTD Board meeting and the City Manager's Lunch today.

Council Member Carol Fischer reported that she attended the Water Commission meeting.

City of Central Point City Council Minutes October 11, 2012 Page 5

XII. DEPARTMENT REPORTS

Finance Director Bev Adams reported that she has been working with the Auditors and on the Quarterly Financial Statement.

Police Chief Kris Allison reported that:

- she is thankful for the legacy Mr. Messina is leaving behind. He has mentored a great group of people to continue running the City.
- The City has received the Oregon Chief of Police Traffic Safety Grant which means we can approve overtime for officers to enforce safety type violations.
- Captain Newell announced his retirement last week and will be taking vacation until his last day with the city.
- They held a SOHTCU Board meeting today. The Lab is doing great and moving forward, they have the support of the agency chiefs.

Parks and Public Works Director Matt Samitore reported that they have received notice that the pumps for the new water reservoir have been delayed again. This is the eighth delay.

Interim City Manager Chris Clayton reported that:

- He attended the Water Commission meeting regarding an analysis regarding the utility billing for the City of Medford done by the Medford Water Commission. The City has decided they can do it for less money. The MWC has assured outside agencies they will not increase fees to make up for the lost revenue.
- He thanked Mr. Messina for mentoring him into the Assistant City Manager position and wished him success in the future.

XIII. EXECUTIVE SESSION - None

XIV. ADJOURNMENT

Kay Harrison moved to adjourn, Carol Fischer seconded, all said "aye" and the Council Meeting was adjourned at 7:59 p.m.

The foregoing minutes of the October 11, 2012, Council meeting were approved by the City Council at its meeting of October 25, 2012.

Dated:	Mayor Hank Williams
ATTEST:	
City Recorder	-

CAP102512 Pg. 6

Return to Agenda



OREGON LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION LIQUOR LICENSE APPLICATION



PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE	
Application is being made for: LICENSE TYPES Full On-Premises Sales (\$402.60/yr) Commercial Establishment Caterer Passenger Carrier Other Public Location Private Club Limited On-Premises Sales (\$202.60/yr) Off-Premises Sales (\$100/yr) With Fuel Pumps Brewery Public House (\$252.60) Winery (\$250/yr) Other: Applying as: Limited Corporation Limited Liability Partnership Company	FOR CITY AND COUNTY USE ONLY The city council or county commission: (name of city or county) recommends that this license be: Granted Denied Denied By: (signature) (date) Name: Title: OLCC USE ONLY Application Rec'd by: Date: 10 45.12 90-day authority: Yes No
1. Entity or Individuals applying for the license: [See SECTION 1 of the G	pan Sun lee
3. Business Location: E PINE STreet Cent (number, street, rural route) (city) 4. Business Mailing Address: SAME	(In)
(PO box, number, street, rural route) 5. Business Numbers: (phone) 6. Is the business at this location currently licensed by OLCC? Yes	city) (state) (ZIP code) (fax)
7. If yes to whom: LEE KOANG SUN Type of Licen 8. Former Business Name: 750 SUSH & 750	nse: LOPS
9. Will you have a manager? Yes No Name: (manage)	per must fill out an individual history form)
	(phone number(s)
I understand that if my answers are not true and complete, the OLCC Applicant(s) Signature(s) and Date: Date	
Date 10/6/20 1-800-452-OLCC (652:	Date
www.oregon.gov/olcc	(rev. 12/07)

CAP102512

Pg. 7

Scan: 10-15-12





Ph: (541) 664-5578 • Fax: (541) 664-2705 • www.centralpointoregon.gov

Chief

Date: 10-17-2012

From: Chief Kris Allison

To: Honorable Mayor Williams Subject: Request for OLCC License

RE: Zen Zen Sushi & Teriyaki./Persons associated therewith

Files of the Central Point Police Department contain no information pertinent to the request.

Respectfully,

Kris Allison Chief of Police

Central Point Police Department

RVMPO Transportation Update

October 2012

FEDERAL UPDATES

The summer was quiet while we waited for Congress to pass the new transportation bill -Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act- (MAP-21).

For additional information please see the following summary from Federal Highway Administration http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/map21/summaryinfo.cfm

MAP-21 is a short bill only covering FY 13 & 14. Funding wise if is similar in level to SAFETY-LU the transportation bill that expired in September of 2009. We have funded transportation with approximately 10 continuing resolutions since 2009. There are some new programs and some consolidation of programs in MAP-21. Rule making is taking place currently, however in the past this has taken up to 18 months, problematical with a bill with a 2 year life span. The amount of funding is roughly similar to what is currently available through the CR's that have been passed since SAFETY-LU expired.

NEW MPO's FOR OREGON

With the release of the 2010census this summer there were two newly designated Metropolitan Planning Organizations for Oregon. Albany and Grants Pass. Locally the Grants Pass MPO urbanized area was drawn to include Rogue River and Gold Hill in Jackson County as well as Grants Pass in Josephine County. Little work was done to stand up the Grants Pass MPO until after MAP -21 was signed in to law. There was quite a push to make new MPO's start at 200,000 vs. 50,000 population. If that had happened not only would the Grants Pass MPO not have needed to stand up but RVMPO would have to fight to continue to exist.

There was considerable debate as to whether the two communities should combine to exceed the 200,000 benchmark and form a TMA. There has been disagreement within the agency (FHWA) as to whether that could be done and if the funds from the two metropolitan areas could be mingled. If not we would have had to have two separate funding processes for STP and CMAQ funds.

There is also a question as to whether we would place our Air Quality Conformity in jeopardy if the Grants Pass area was non-compliant.

Current feeling is that there is not sufficient time before the March 2013 deadline to form a joined MPO with Grants Pass. Additionally considering the recent infighting at the Rogue Valley Area Commission on Transportation (RVACT) over funding if there was the political will to do so. As of now Grants Pass Gold Hill and Rogue River as well as the two counties are working on how to form their MPO.

Additional Funds for RVMPO.

At the September TAC meeting Eagle Point asked if there were sufficient funds to allow them to continue to fund their transportation program through 2015. They were officially included in the urbanized area of RVMPO by the 2010 census. We had been told in 2002 when Eagle Point voluntarily joined RVMPO that they would not be considered for inclusion in the Medford urbanized area for many decades. They continued to receive their approximately \$90,000/year in federal transportation dollars through 2012. Since no one expected them to be a member of RVMPO financially they did not put forth projects for the 2012-2015 STIP cycle. This left them with no federal transportation funding for 3 years.

The MPO provided continuation funding to Talent and Ashland when they were included into the MPO in 2002.

Here is a chart of the additional amounts projected to be available do to population increase and the inclusion of Eagle Point into the MPO.

	Estimate	\$	<u>STP</u> 1,421,347	ċ	CMAQ 1,900,000	<u>1</u>	Total Annual Increase	September TAC meeting proposed to provide \$90 Eagle Point for the next
2012		,		\$ \$		٠	874,997	It was also proposed to
2012	Final Change	\$ \$	1,675,445 254,098	۶ \$	2,520,899 620,899	\$	6/4,99/	50% split of STP funds
	Change	Ą	234,036	Ş	020,899			these additional funds.
	Estimate	\$	1,467,683	\$	1,900,000			
2013	Final	\$	1,769,102	\$	2,500,000	\$	901,419	the MPO has given 50%
	Change	\$	301,419	\$	600,000	•		to the Transit District a
								meet the state mandat
	Estimate	\$	1,515,529	\$	1,900,000	-		transportation portion
2014	Final	\$	1,867,995	\$	2,500,000	\$	952,466	(Transportation Plannii
	Change	\$	352,466	\$	600,000			There is a 20 year agree
								provide 50% of STP fun
	Estimate	\$	1,564,936	\$	1,900,000			•
2015	Final	\$	1,972,416	\$	2,100,000	\$	607,480	in lue of the VMT redu
	Change	\$	407,480	\$	200,000			required at that time.
	Total Increase 2012-15	\$	1,315,463	\$	2,020,899	\$	3,336,362	There is quite a discuss as to whether we can o

ing it was \$92,090 to xt 3 years.

At the

to not do the with RVTD for s. Since 2001 % of STP funds as a way to ated alterative n of the TPR ning Rule) eement to inding to RVTD uction that was

ssion ongoing change this

amount. Historically when we have received increased allocations we have split our STP funds 50% to RVTD. Medford is the vocal advocate for taking these funds and applying them to the Jackson Co. /Medford Lozier Lane project. When Lozier Lane was funded it was the voted that any new funds that became available through 2015 would go to the Lozier Lane project. It was not anticipated that Eagle Point would be brought into the MPO at that time. I also do not believe nor was it stated that funds already promised to RVTD would be included in funds being diverted to Lozier Lane.

A quick check of the funding shows that Eagle Point can receive \$92,090 for 3 years and RVTD its 50% and still have some funds left over.

	2013	2014	2015	total
Additional				
Funds	301,419	352,466	407,480	1,061,365
Eagle Point	92,090	92,090	92,090	276,270
RVTD	150,709	176,233	203,740	530,682

Left Over	58,620	84,143	111,650	254,413

While it is understandable that Medford wants to maximize its gain I do not believe we should do so at the risk of long standing agreements with other members. What happened to Eagle Point truly caught all of us by surprise. But taking additional funds from RVTD would be contrary to what the MPO has done for the past ten years. RVTD currently receives about 700,000/yr from RVMPO in STP dollars. All of that money is currently being spent on maintenance. RVTD's maintenance budget is currently around 1,7 million per year. So while the above amounts are substantial they really are a drop in the bucket in backfilling the fiscal needs of RVTD. The district is working on placing a tax proposal on the ballot for 2014. This could if passed see some or all of the STP dollars come back to the MPO in future years. However without a steady tax base for RVTD the MPO is currently obligated to provide 50% of it's STP dollars to the district through 2022.

STATE UPDATE

ODOT has proposed and is moving forward with a new funding strategy that has yet to be approved by the OTC. They are proposing to split funding 76% to Fix-it and 24% to Enhancement with the next funding cycle. Applications are out for those funds currently. This will not effect any funds coming directly to the MPO's in Oregon. So the cities use of MPO STP and CMAQ funds will not be effected by the change at the state.

Return to Agenda



Finance Department Bev Adams, Finance Director

Staff Report

To:

Mayor & Council

From:

Bev Adams, Finance Director

Date:

October 25, 2012

Subject:

1st Quarter Financial Statements

Background:

Attached are the financial statements for the period July 1st through September 30, 2012.

All departments are well within acceptable spending limits, and all budget transfers have been made except for the Housing Fund transfer to the General Fund. This transfer is only made in the event that revenue is received from paid assessments.

As this is the first quarter of the fiscal year, current property taxes have not yet arrived - but we expect those to begin the first of November. We also anticipate the County's "official tax statement", which provides information on the total taxes the City can expect to receive this year. This report is of particular interest given that projections of property tax are especially difficult these days with property values fluctuating as they have here in the valley. That report usually arrives mid to late October. I will report back to you on what the property taxes will be once we have that information.

Overall, the City began the new fiscal year in a strong financial position.

Please note the "Beginning Fund Balance" shown at the bottom of each fund statement under the "Year to Date Revenues & Expenditures" column. These balances are shown in bold type and are audited balances.

With the exception of the Street and Water funds, all funds met the estimated budgeted carryover amount. The Street Fund carryover of \$2,303,206 is \$156,224 less than originally estimated, and the Water Fund carryover of \$1,657,820 is \$195,545 less than the original estimate. The water fund balance variation from the year end estimate is due in part to the year-end timing construction and engineering expenses on the water reservoir capital project as well as not making the water sales we'd hoped for during the first of summer. The street fund variance is contributed to less revenues and more completion of capital projects than originally projected.

Without exception, all funds met the carryover threshold as stated in the City's financial policy.

Recommended Action:

That Mayor and Council accept the 1st quarter financial statements.

•	3 - 4			
			Fiscal Year to date	25.00%
		Year to Date		
	2012/13 Budget	Revenues & Expenditures	Difference	Percentage Received/Used
General Fund - 10				
Revenues				
Taxes	\$5,885,000	\$416,694	\$5,468,306	7.08%
Licenses & Fees	53,100	46,185	6,915	
Intergovernmental	486,500	109,111	377,389	22.43%
Charges for Service	756,000	195,819	560,181	25.90%
Fines and Forfeitures	149,500	31,988	117,512	21.40%
Interest Income	20,000	3,553	16,447	17.76%
Miscellaneous	140,000	14,178	125,822	10.13%
Transfers In	10,000	0	10,000	0.00%
Total Revenues	7,500,100	817,528	6,682,572	10.90%
Expenditures by Department				
Administration	762,550	191,040	571,510	25.05%
City Enhancement	310,500	24,013	286,487	7.73%
Technical Services	574,750	127,715	447,035	22.22%
Mayor & Council	59,750	28,565	31,185	47.81%
Finance	832,250	184,583	647,667	22.18%
Parks & Recreation - Parks	808,750	203,440	605,310	25.15%
Parks & Recreation - Recreation	484,300	90,804	393,496	18.75%
Planning	460,200	106,137	354,063	23.06%
Police	3,655,000	925,663	2,729,337	25.33%
Interdepartmental	280,000	80,784	199,216	28.85%
Transfers Out	417,350	417,350	0	100.00%
Contingency	150,000	0	150,000	0.00%
Total Expenditures by Department	8,795,400	2,380,095	6,415,305	27.06%
Net Change in Fund Balance		(1,562,567)		
Beginning Fund Balance	2,988,602	3,201,915	213,313	
Ending Fund Balance	1,793,302	1,639,348	(153,954)	- :
	2012/13	Revenues &		Percentage
	Budget	Expenditures	Difference	Received/Used
High Tech Crime Task Force Fund Revenues			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Intergovernmental Revenue	\$618,000	\$25,157	\$592,843	4.07%
Charges for Services	500	Ψ25,157	500	0.00%
Interest Income	0	0	0	0.00%
Interfund Transfers	237,350	237,350	0	
Total Revenues	855,850	262,507	593,343	100.00% 30.67%
-	,			00.00 %
Expenditures				
Operations	743,150	108,859	634,291	14.65%
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	0.00%
Contingency	0	0	0	0.00%
Total Expenditures	743,150	108,859	634,291	14.65%
Net Change in Fund Balance		153,648		
Beginning Fund Balance	5,000	86,900	81,900	
Ending Fund Balance	117,700	153,648	35,948	

				Fiscal Year to date	25.00%
Revenues				Difference	
Water Franchise Tax	Street Fund - 20				
Charges for Services					
Intergovernmental Revenue		•			
Interest Income 7,000 2,882 4,318 38.31% Miscellaneous 2,000 50,000 0 100,00% Total Revenues 2,584,750 489,812 2,103,938 18.60% Total Revenues 2,584,750 489,812 2,103,938 18.60% Total Revenues 2,703,815 \$489,812 2,103,938 18.60% \$1.000 \$					
Miscellaneous	_	10.5			
Transfers In 50,000 50,000 100,00% Total Revenues 2,584,750 480,812 2,103,938 18,60%		•			
Total Revenues				·	
Operations SDC 2,703,815 297,900 49,076 49,076 248,824 248,824 18,11% 16,47% 10,000 Total Expenditures 3,101,715 538,602 2,563,113 17,36% Net Change in Fund Balance Beginning Fund Balance Ending Fund Balance (\$57,790) 1,942,465 2,245,416 302,951 Housing Fund - 25 Revenues Interest Income Loan Principal Payments \$150 10,000 \$48 0 \$102 0 31.76% 0 Loan Principal Payments 10,000 0 10,000 0 0.00% 0 Total Revenues 5,000 10,150 1,250 48 3,750 10,000 0 25,000 0,00% 0 Expenditures 5,000 1,250 1,250 28,750 8,33% Net Change in Fund Balance Beginning Fund Balance (\$1,202) 35,446 39,199 3,754 Capital Improvement Fund - 30 Revenues \$0 \$0 \$0 0,00% 0 Charges for Services 25,000 25,000 9,434 15,566 15,732 37,69% Expenditures 25,000 9,434 15,566 37,74% 16,166 37,74% 16,200 37,750 37,69% Expenditures 25,000 9,518 15,732 37,69% Expenditures 0 0					
SDC	Expenditures				
Contingency 100,000 0 100,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 3,101,715 538,602 2,563,113 17,36%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,703,815	\$489,526	2,214,289	18.11%
Net Change in Fund Balance Beginning Fund - 25 Beginning Fund - 25 Beginning Fund - 25 Beginning Fund - 25 Beginning Fund Balance Be			49,076	248,824	16.47%
Net Change in Fund Balance 2,459,430 2,303,206 (156,224) Ending Fund Balance 1,942,465 2,245,416 302,951 Housing Fund - 25 Revenues 150 \$48 \$102 31.76% Loan Principal Payments 10,000 0 10,000 0.00% Total Revenues 10,160 48 10,102 0.47% Expenditures 10,000 0 25,000 0.00% Transfers Out 10,000 0 25,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 15,000 1,250 28,760 8.33% Net Change in Fund Balance 40,295 40,401 108 Ending Fund Balance 40,295 40,401 108 Ending Fund Balance 40,295 40,401 108 Ending Fund Balance 55,000 9,434 15,566 37,74% Intergovernmental \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00% Charges for Services 25,000 9,434 15,566 37,74% Intergovernmental \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0,00% Total Revenues 250 84 166 33,49% Total Revenues 250 9,518 15,732 37,69% Expenditures 260 9,518 15,732 37,69% Expenditures Parks Projects \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0,00% Parks Projects - SDC 18,000 14,274 3,726 79,30% Transfers Out 35,000 18,000 17,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60,89% Net Change in Fund Balance 60,907 78,238 17,331					
Beginning Fund Balance	Total Expenditures	3,101,715	538,602	2,563,113	17.36%
Ending Fund Balance					
Housing Fund - 25 Revenues Interest Income \$150 \$48 \$102 31.76% Loan Principal Payments 10,000 0 10,000 0.00% Total Revenues 10,150 48 10,102 0.47% Expenditures					
Revenues	Ending Fund Balance	1,942,465	2,245,416	302,951	
Interest Income	•				
Loan Principal Payments 10,000 0 10,000 0.00% Total Revenues 10,150 48 10,102 0.47% Expenditures		0.150	* 40		
Total Revenues 10,150 48 10,102 0.47%			,	¥ · · · ·	
Materials and Services 5,000 1,250 3,750 25,000 Transfers Out 10,000 0 25,000 0,00% Total Expenditures 15,000 1,250 28,750 8,33% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$1,202) 8,33% 1,202 1,203 1,203 1,203 1,203					
Materials and Services 5,000 1,250 3,750 25,000 Transfers Out 10,000 0 25,000 0,00% Total Expenditures 15,000 1,250 28,750 8,33% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$1,202) 8,33% 1,202 1,203 1,203 1,203 1,203	Expenditures				
Transfers Out 10,000 0 25,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 15,000 1,250 28,750 8.33% Net Change in Fund Balance Beginning Fund Balance 40,295 40,401 106 Ending Fund Balance 35,445 39,199 3,754 Capital Improvement Fund - 30 Revenues Intergovernmental \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00% Charges for Services 25,000 9,434 15,566 37,74% Interest Income 250 84 166 33,49% Total Revenues 25,250 9,518 15,732 37,69% Expenditures Parks Projects 0 0 0 0 0.00% Parks Projects 5DC 18,000 14,274 3,726 79,30% Transfers Out 35,000 18,000 17,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60.89% Net Change in Fund Balance 60,907 78,238 17,331	-	5 000	1 250	3 750	25.00%
Total Expenditures 15,000 1,250 28,750 8.33% Net Change in Fund Balance Beginning Fund Balance (\$1,202) 40,401 106 106 106 106 106 106 106 106 106 106					
Beginning Fund Balance	Total Expenditures				
Beginning Fund Balance	Net Change in Fund Balance		(\$1,202)		
Capital Improvement Fund - 30 Revenues Intergovernmental \$0 \$0 \$0 0.00% Charges for Services 25,000 9,434 15,566 37.74% Interest Income 250 84 166 33.49% Total Revenues 25,250 9,518 15,732 37.69% Expenditures 0 0 0 0.00% Parks Projects 0 0 0 0.00% Parks Projects - SDC 18,000 14,274 3,726 79.30% Transfers Out 35,000 18,000 17,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60.89% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$22,756) 8,238 17,331		40,295		106	
Intergovernmental \$0	Ending Fund Balance	35,445	39,199	3,754	
Intergovernmental \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0.00%					
Charges for Services Interest Income 25,000 9,434 15,566 37.74% Interest Income 250 84 166 33.49% Total Revenues Expenditures 25,250 9,518 15,732 37.69% Expenditures 0 0 0 0.00% Parks Projects - SDC 18,000 14,274 3,726 79.30% Transfers Out 35,000 18,000 17,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60.89% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$22,756) 8238 17,331		**	•		
Interest Income 250 84 166 33.49%					
Total Revenues 25,250 9,518 15,732 37.69% Expenditures Parks Projects 0 0 0 0.00% Parks Projects - SDC 18,000 14,274 3,726 79.30% Transfers Out 35,000 18,000 17,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60.89% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$22,756) (\$22,756) 17,331	<u> </u>				
Parks Projects 0 0 0 0.00% Parks Projects - SDC 18,000 14,274 3,726 79.30% Transfers Out 35,000 18,000 17,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60.89% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$22,756) (\$22,756) 17,331 Beginning Fund Balance 60,907 78,238 17,331					
Parks Projects 0 0 0 0.00% Parks Projects - SDC 18,000 14,274 3,726 79.30% Transfers Out 35,000 18,000 17,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60.89% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$22,756) (\$22,756) 17,331 Beginning Fund Balance 60,907 78,238 17,331	Evnanditurae				
Parks Projects - SDC 18,000 14,274 3,726 79.30% Transfers Out 35,000 18,000 17,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60.89% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$22,756) (\$22,756) 17,331 Beginning Fund Balance 60,907 78,238 17,331		0	0	0	0.00%
Transfers Out 35,000 18,000 17,000 0.00% Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60.89% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$22,756) Beginning Fund Balance 60,907 78,238 17,331					
Total Expenditures 53,000 32,274 20,726 60.89% Net Change in Fund Balance (\$22,756) Beginning Fund Balance 60,907 78,238 17,331				·	
Beginning Fund Balance 60,907 78,238 17,331					
Beginning Fund Balance 60,907 78,238 17,331	Net Change in Fund Balance		(\$22,756)		
	Beginning Fund Balance		78,238		
	Ending Fund Balance	36,157	55,482	19,325	

Reserve Fund- 35 Revenues Interest \$1,500 \$578 \$922	38.55% 100.00% 38.55%
Revenues \$1,500 \$578 \$922	100.00%
Interest \$1,500 \$578 \$922	100.00%
	100.00%
Tronoforo In	
	38.55%
Total Revenues 1,500 578 0	
Net Change in Fund Balance 578	
Beginning Fund Balance 482,100 483,463 1,363	
Ending Fund Balance 413,600 484,041 70,441	
Debt Service Fund- 40	
Revenues	
Charges for Service \$344,700 \$48,938 \$295,762	14.20%
Interest Income 250 54 196	21.45%
Intergovernmental 162,900 0 162,900	0.00%
Special Assessments 63,000 11,016 51,984	17.49%
Transfers In 210,400 210,400 0	100.00%
Total Revenues 781,250 270,407 510,843	34.61%
Expenditures	
Debt Service 773,800 132,700 641,100	17.15%
Total Expenditures 773,800 132,700 641,100	17.15%
Net Change in Fund Balance \$137,707	
Beginning Fund Balance 26,472 18,040 (8,432)	
Ending Fund Balance 33,922 155,747 121,825	
Building Fund- 50	
Revenues .	
Charges for Service \$97,300 \$23,401 \$73,899	24.05%
Interest Income 800 207 593	25.93%
Miscellaneous 0 0	0.00%
Total Revenues 98,100 23,609 74,491	24.07%
Expenditures	
Personal Services 119,650 28,963 90,687	24.21%
Materials and Services 13,900 2,938 10,962	21.14%
Contingency 2,000 0 2,000	0.00%
Total Expenditures 135,550 31,902 103,648	23.53%
Net Change in Fund Balance (\$8,293)	
Beginning Fund Balance 144,680 145,041 361	
Ending Fund Balance 107,230 136,748 29,518	

			Fiscal Year to date	25.00%
	2012/13 Budget	Year to Date Revenues & Expenditures	Difference	Percentage Received/Used
Water Fund - 55				
Revenues				
Charges for Services	\$2,730,500	\$997,979	\$1,732,521	36.55%
Interest Income	5,000	1,252	3,748	25.03%
Miscellaneous	1,502,500	354,299	1,148,201	23.58%
Total Revenues	4,238,000	1,353,530	2,884,470	31.94%
Expenditures				
Operations	4,791,915	1,768,063	3,023,852	36.90%
SDC Improvements	25,000	0	25,000	0.00%
Contingency	150,000	0	150,000	0.00%
Total Expenditures	4,966,915	1,768,063	3,198,852	35.60%
Net Change in Fund Balance		(\$414,533)		
Beginning Fund Balance	1,853,365	1,657,820	(195,545)	
Ending Fund Balance	1,124,450	1,243,287	118,837	•
Stormwater Fund - 57 Revenues				
Charges for Services	\$798,500	\$217,723	\$580,777	27.27%
Interest Income	1,500	572	928	38.15%
Miscellaneous	0	0	0	0.00%
Total Revenues	800,000	218,295	581,705	27.29%
Expenditures				
Operations	709,765	137,763	572,002	19.41%
SDC	21,850	0	21,850	0.00%
Contingency	40,000	0	40,000	0.00%
Total Expenditures	771,615	137,763	633,852	17.85%
Net Change in Fund Balance		\$80,532		
Beginning Fund Balance	412,276	476,753	64,477	
Ending Fund Balance	343,661	557,285	213,624	

			Fiscal Year to date	25.00%
	2012/13 Budget	Year to Date Revenues & Expenditures	Difference	Percentage Received/Used
Internal Services Fund - 60				
Revenues				
Charges for Services	\$1,205,700	\$303,907	\$901,793	25.21%
Intergovernmental	105,000	0	105,000	0.00%
Interest Income	2,000	225	1,775	11.25%
Miscellaneous	15,000	825	14,175	5.50%
Total Revenues	1,327,700	304,957	1,022,743	22.97%
Expenditures				
Facilities Maintenance	283,000	62,524	220,476	22.09%
PW Administration	690,015	140,175	549,840	20.31%
PW Fleet Maintenance	400,650	79,945	320,705	19.95%
Contingency	0	0	0	0.00%
Interfund Transfers	0	0	0	0.00%
Total Expenditures	1,373,665	282,644	1,091,021	20.58%
Net Change in Fund Balance		\$22,313		
Beginning Fund Balance	170,000	228,610	58,610	
Ending Fund Balance	124,035	250,923	126,888	•

City of Central Point Budget Compliance Report For period ending September 30, 2012

				Fiscal Year to date	25.00%
		2012/13	Year to Date	Percent	
		Budget	Expenditures	Used	Difference
General	Administration	\$762,550	\$191,040	25.05%	\$571,510
	City Enhancement	310,500	24,013	7.73%	286,487
	Technical Services	574,750	127,715	22.22%	447,035
	Mayor and Council	59,750	28,565	47.81%	31,185
	Finance	832,250	184,583	22.18%	647,667
	Parks & Recreation - Parks	808,750	203,440	25.15%	605,310
	Parks & Recreation - Recreation	484,300	90,804	18.75%	393,496
	Community Development	460,200	106,137	23.06%	354,063
	Police	3,655,000	925,663	25.33%	2,729,337
	Interdepartmental	280,000	80,784	28.85%	199,216
	Transfers	417,350	417,350	100.00%	0
	Contingency	150,000	0	0.00%	150,000
	-	8,795,400	2,380,095	27.06%	6,415,305
HTCTF		0.10.150			
	Personnel Services	216,450	40,036	18.50%	176,414
	Materials and Services	526,700	68,823	13.07%	457,877
	_	743,150	108,859	14.65%	634,291
Street	Operations	2,703,815	489,526	18.11%	2,214,289
3.1.33 1	SDC Improvements	297,900	49,076	16.47%	248,824
	Contingency	100,000	0	0.00%	100,000
	_	3,101,715	538,602	17.36%	2,563,113
	_				_,
Housing	Materials and Services	5,000	1,250	25.00%	3,750
	Transfers	10,000	0	0.00%	10,000
		15,000	1,250	8.33%	13,750
Comital Designate	Dorle Drainete	•	•	0.00%	
Capital Projects	Park Projects	0	0	0.00%	0
	Park Projects - SDC Transfers	25,000	14,274	57.10%	10,726
		18,000	18,000 32,274	0.00%	40.726
	_	43,000	32,214	75.06%	10,726
Debt Service	Debt Service	773,800	132,700	17.15%	641,100
Building	Personnel Services	119,650	28,963	24.21%	90,687
	Materials and Services	13,900	2,938	21.14%	10,962
	Contingency	2,000	0	0.00%	2,000
	<u> </u>	135,550	31,902	23.53%	103,648
Water	Operations	4,791,915	1,768,063	36.90%	3,023,852
	SDC Improvements	25,000	0	0.00%	25,000
	Contingency	150,000	0	0.00%	150,000
	_	4,966,915	1,768,063	35.60%	3,198,852
Stormwater	Operations	700 765	407 760	40.440/	E70 000
Storriwater	SDC Improvements	709,765 21,850	137,763	19.41%	572,002
	Contingency	40,000	0	0.00% 0.00%	21,850
	Contingency	771,615	137,763	17.85%	40,000
		171,015	137,703	17.05%	633,852
Internal Services	Facilities Maintenance	283,000	62,524	22.09%	220,476
	PW Administration	690,015	140,175	20.31%	549,840
	PW Fleet Maintenance	400,650	79,945	19.95%	320,705
	_	1,373,665	282,644	20.58%	1,091,021
	Total City Operations	\$20,719,810	\$5,414,152	26.13%	\$15,305,658

Return to Agenda

BUSINESS

Rogue Disposal CPI Rate Increase

September 28, 2012

Mr. Phil Messina
City Administrator
City of Central Point
155 South 2nd St.
Central Point, OR 97502

RE: City of Central Point Solid Waste Collection Franchise Agreement Sec. 7.5

Our File No: RET II 13A

Dear Mr. Messina:

Paragraph 7.5 of the Solid Waste Collection Franchise Agreement between the City of Central Point (City) and Rogue Disposal & Recycling, Inc., (Rogue), provides for an annual adjustment of approved service rate schedule based on the change in the Consumer Price Index during the previous year. Please accept this letter as Rogue's implementation of the 7.5 provisions. Set forth below is Rogue's detailed calculation of the adjustment to each "Rate Category Rate" (RCR) in the improved service rate schedule, then in effect, calculated in accordance with the Annual Rate Adjustment Formula set forth under Section 7.5.

The Consumer Price Index (CPI) percentage change between August 2011 (226.5) and August 2012 (230.4) equals a percentage change of 1.7%. Please see enclosed table taken from the Bureau of Labor Statistics Data setting forth the Consumer Price Index-All Urban Consumers for years 2011 and 2012. Accordingly, under the Annual Rate Adjustment Formula, the service rate for a particular rate category is multiplied by 1.7% plus the current service rate which equals the "Adjusted Rate Category Rate" (ARCR). For example, residential garbage/curbside recycling-one-can current rate of \$16.22 renders the following adjustment:

Thus, the rate as of January 1, 2013 adjusted for the CPI (1.7%) equals:

I have enclosed a copy of Exhibit "D" Schedule of Approved Maximum Monthly Collection Rates for City of Central Point, effective January 1, 2012, which sets forth the current RCR. The new rates reflecting the ARCR are attached hereto as Exhibit "C", amended as of January 1, 2013.

Under the franchise, the City has 30 days to review the increase for the cost of living calculations.

As required by the franchise, 30 days written notice (this includes electronic notice for our customers who have opted for "paperless" communication) will be provided to customers of all rate changes.

After review of this information, please inform me as to whether you agree that the calculations set forth herein are accurate. I can be reached on my direct line at 541.494.5409.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

Brenda B. Olfson
I/S & Finance Operations Manager
Rogue Disposal & Recycling, Inc.

SMG/ERS Encl

Return to Agenda

Ordinance

Second Reading Curb Ramps



Parks & Public Works Department

Matt Samitore, Director

140 South 3rd Street | Central Point, OR 97502 | 541.664.7602 | www.centralpointoregon.gov

September 26, 2012

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Matt Samitore, Parks & Public Works Director

SUJECT: Changes to Chapter 12.020 of the Central Point Municipal Code

PURPOSE:

The purpose of the ordinance amendment would be to allow for rubber curb ramps to be installed in areas of Central Point where there are existing rolled curb to allow for a smoother transition from the street to the residents driveways.

SUMMARY:

The Beginning in the late 1990's multiple phases of the Central Point East Subdivision were developed using "rolled curb" Although economical for builders and developers, rolled curb provides a cost saving to developer/builders because it does not require replacement when a driveway apron location is determined by and individual property owner; the rolled curb design presents a variety of issues for adjacent property owners. These issues include limited drainage opportunities from adjacent private property, difficult navigation by passenger type vehicles, and reduced property, infrastructure and pedestrian protection from vehicles in the traveled way.

In an effort to mitigate the navigation issue (jarring when entering or exiting a driveway), a number of property owners have purchased removable "Bridjit" style rubber ramps and placed them in the curb line/public right-of-way. While extremely effective at alleviating the jarring that occurs when entering or exiting a driveway, these ramps also create several public right-of-way concerns and are a violation of the current City of Central Point Municipal Code (CPMC).

At the September 13, 2012 City Council meeting the Council meeting heard as a business discussion item the pros and cons of rubber driveway ramps. The council directed staff to rewrite the municipal code to allow the ramps, with a yearly permit. The permit would be used to

effectively monitor the ramps to ensure they are not causing a detrimental impact to the street or neighboring property owners. The permit will be free and be used to inform the residents who have them to remove them from the street if a potential flood or other public emergency is imminent.

SECOND READING CHANGES

Under section b, the following phase was added, **provided that they shall be removed upon the request of the city.** The phase was added to clarify that if the city needs to do maintenance on the street or if there is a potential flooding or other natural disaster the city could request the ramps be removed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the second reading of an ordinance amending section 12.020 of the Central Point Municipal Code allowing for rubber curb ramps to be allowed within subdivisions with rolled curbs with an annual permit, with the addition of the phrase provided that they shall be removed upon the request of the city.

ORDINANCE NO.	
---------------	--

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 12.20.020 OF THE CENTRAL POINT MUNICIPAL CODE ADDING PROVISIONS FOR RUBBER CURB RAMPS.

Recitals:

- A. Several neighborhoods in Central Point have rolled curbs.
- B. Rolled curbs are more difficult to navigate as they do not allow for a standard driveway apron.
- C. Rubber driveway aprons allow for a smooth transition from the street to the resident driveway.
- D. Words lined through are to be deleted and words in **bold** are added.

THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF CENTRAL POINT DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Chapter 9.68.140 of the Central Point Municipal Code is amended to read:

12.20.020 Prohibited.

A. It is unlawful for any person to place or allow in or over any alley, street or sidewalk, or any street or alley right-of-way, whether improved or not, any of the following:

- 1. Any garbage or refuse of any nature, provided, however, that garbage or refuse in enclosed containers may be temporarily placed within the street right-of-way upon garbage collection days;
- 2. Any building, fence, or other structure, including items which are by design intended to be portable, provided however, that:
- a. Fences (subject to subsection B of this section) and mailboxes may be placed in a right-of-way, at the owners' expense, if the same do not impede sight distance necessary for the safe use of the street, alley, driveway or sidewalk by vehicular or pedestrian traffic, and further provided that the same shall be removed upon the request of the city;
- b. Rubber curb ramps may be placed in a right-of-way, at the owner's expense, provided that they shall be removed upon the request of the city.

The following apply to rubber curb ramps placed in the right-of-way:

- i. An annual permit for the installation of the ramps must be obtained from the City Public Works Department
 - ii. A permit may be revoked if regular maintenance on the ramps is

not completed by the resident. iii. Rubber curb ramps are only allowed to be installed in areas of the city where rolled curbs are currently located.		
Passed by the Council and signed by me in authentication of its passage thisday of, 2012.		
Mayor Hank Williams		
ATTEST:		
City Recorder		

Return to Agenda

Ordinance

Second Reading Park Code Amendments





STAFF REPORT

Matt Samitore, Director

To: Parks Commission Members

From: Jennifer Boardman, Central Point Parks and Recreation

Subject: Revision of Park Ordinances – City Council Recommendation

Date: October 18, 2012

Purpose: The purpose of the revision of the park ordinances is to clarify the use of park property with outside vendors and rental apparatus set up in the parks when users are renting park space. It is also an effort to provide clarification of requirements for rental activities that were not clearly defined which should help to reduce the liability of the city.

Background: Central Point city park usage has increased and with that, larger numbers of users are renting the facilities. Users renting the facilities have been requesting additional outside vendors/entertainment be allowed as part of their park rental. These vendors include jump houses, dunk tanks, and party ponies for added excitement at their gatherings. As a practice the Parks and Recreation Department has not allowed these items unless the user applies for a special event permit and provides \$1,000,000 in liability insurance. There currently is no wording in the ordinance that states the rules regarding these apparatus and their use with gazebo rentals. This revision is needed to provide parks staff and police with the tools they require to assist in limiting damage and maintaining order.

Change #1: 9.68.140 Reservation system.

A. The use of the city parks shelter areas may be reserved for private functions by individuals or groups. A standard form shall be completed for each reservation and approved by the city administrator or his or her designee.

B. A nonrefundable fee will be established by resolution of the city council and will be charged for reservation of a shelter for private use. The fee may be waived by the city administrator or his or her designee for public use.

- C. It shall be unlawful for anyone to interfere with or prohibit the use of a park shelter by the reserved party.
- D. Dunk tanks, party ponies, and jump houses or other similar structures or personal property involving activities that increase liability to the city as solely determined by the Parks and Recreation Department are not allowed in the parks as part of the shelter area rentals. Individuals or groups wishing to use dunk tanks, party ponies or jump houses or similar structures or personal property must submit a special event permit application, and provide the Parks and Recreation Department with proof of liability insurance, that meets or exceeds state tort claims limits. The permit application must be approved through the internal permitting process. No individuals or groups shall set up dunk tanks, party ponies or jump houses or similar structures or personal property unless specifically authorized by the Parks and Recreation Department through the permitting process.

Second Reading Changes

There have been no changes between first and second readings.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends approval of the second reading of an ordinance amending section 9.68.140 of the Central Point Municipal Code in regards to park property with outside vendors and rental apparatus.

ORDINANCE NO.	

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 9.68.140 OF THE CENTRAL POINT MUNICIPAL CODE TO CLARIFY THE USE OF PARK PROPERTY WITH OUTSIDE VENDERS AND RENTAL APPARTUS SET UP IN THE PARKS WHEN USERS ARE RENTING PARK SPACE.

Recitals:

- A. The City has in place procedures for park reservations and for special events
- B. Park Reservations are only for the right to rent a structure of park area for a specified amount of time.
- C. Special Event permits are required if residents are bringing in items may potentially have an adverse impact to the park or only be for the exclusivity of those in the special event.
- D. Words lined through are to be deleted and words in **bold** are added.

THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF CENTRAL POINT DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Chapter 9.68.140 of the Central Point Municipal Code is amended to read:

9.68.140 Reservation system.

- A. The use of the city parks shelter areas may be reserved for private functions by individuals or groups. A standard form shall be completed for each reservation and approved by the city manager or his or her designee.
- B. A nonrefundable fee will be established by resolution of the city council and will be charged for reservation of a shelter for private use. The fee may be waived by the city manager or his or her designee for public use.
- C. It shall be unlawful for anyone to interfere with or prohibit the use of a park shelter by the reserved party.
- D. Dunk tanks, party ponies, and jump houses or other similar structures or personal property involving activities that increase liability to the city as solely determined by the Parks and Recreation Department are not allowed in the parks as part of the shelter area rentals. Individuals or groups wishing to use

dunk tanks, party ponies or jump houses or similar structures or personal property must submit a special event permit application, and provide the Parks and Recreation Department with proof of liability insurance, that meets or exceeds state tort claims limits. The permit application must be approved through the internal permitting process. No individuals or groups shall set up dunk tanks, party ponies or jump houses or similar structures or personal property unless specifically authorized by the Parks and Recreation Department through the permitting process.

Passed by the Council and signed by me in authentication of its passage this		
day of, 2012.		
	Mayor Hank Williams	
ATTEST:		
7111201.		
City Recorder		
	Return to Agenda	

CAP102512 Pg. 31

Ordinance No. _____ (102512) Pg. 2